

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 * * *

9 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,)
10 Plaintiff,) 2:16-cv-00524-RFB-NJK
11 vs.)
12 ELKHORN COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, et al.,) **O R D E R**
13 Defendants.) (Docket No. 27)
14

15 Pending before the Court is Defendant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC's ("SFR") Demand for
16 Security of Costs. Docket No. 27. Plaintiff filed a limited non-opposition to SFR's demand. Docket
17 No. 31. The Court finds that this motion is properly resolved without oral argument. *See* Local Rule
18 78-1.

19 **DISCUSSION**

20 It is the policy of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada to enforce the
21 requirements of NRS 18.130 in diversity actions. *Feagins v. Trump Org.*, 2012 WL 925027, *1 (D.
22 Nev. Mar. 19, 2012); *citing Hamar v. Hyatt Corp.*, 98 F.R.D. 305, 305–306 (D.Nev.1983);
23 *Arrambide v. St. Mary's Hosp., Inc.*, 647 F.Supp. 1148, 1149 (D.Nev.1986). Under Nevada law,
24 “[w]hen a plaintiff in an action resides out of the State, or is a foreign corporation, security for the
25 costs and charges which may be awarded against such plaintiff may be required by the defendant.”
26 NRS 18.130(1). The present case is a diversity action and Plaintiff is a non-resident of this state. *See*
27 Complaint, Docket No. 1, at ¶ 2. Therefore, Plaintiff is required to provide security in the amount
28 of \$500 per defendant, pursuant to NRS 18.130. *See Feagins*, 2012 WL 925027, *1.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, and good cause appearing therefore,

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant SFR's Demand for Security of Costs (Docket
4 No. 27) is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall provide security in the amount of \$500, as
6 to Defendant SFR, no later than January 28, 2017.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant SFR's request for a stay of proceedings pending
8 the posting of security is **DENIED**.

9 || DATED: January 9, 2017.

NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge